

A Challenging Case of Anaphylaxis in the Post Anesthesia Care Unit





Jennifer Knapp MD, Arnaldo Vera-Arroyo MD, Elaine Quintas Silva Varga MD Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative Medicine and Pain Management, University of Miami/Jackson Health System, Miami, Florida

Introduction:

Anaphylaxis is a life threatening reaction that occurs in about 10,000 to 20,000 anesthetic procedures. It is an IgE mediated hypersensitivity reaction. Common triggers during the perioperative period include neuromuscular blocking agents, latex, antibiotics, and local anesthetics. Presenting symptoms can range from mild flushing, rash, urticaria, abdominal cramping to severe angioedema, laryngeal edema, bronchospasm, or hypotension, making it crucial to differentiate and treat rapidly. A helpful diagnostic lab finding, if sent in the appropriate time, can help distinguish anaphylactic reactions from non-immune anaphylactoid reactions.

Case Report:

We report a case of a 37 year-old female with a history of hypercoagulable state on chronic anticoagulation, and pulmonary embolus in 2019, and a right hand abscess that presented for right hand incision and debridement. Right axillary peripheral nerve block with 15 ml of 0.5% ropivicaine was placed pre-operatively at 8:45am. Monitored anesthesia care was achieved with midazolam boluses and a dexmedetomidine infusion. The tourniquet was placed on the right upper arm for 22 minutes at 250 mmhg. 2g of cefepime and 1g of vancomycin was administered at 10:03 am after cultures were obtained. The vancomycin infusion was continued into the post anesthesia care unit (PACU). The patient was hemodynamically stable during the operation and the anesthetic course was unremarkable.

On arrival to the PACU at 10:25 am, the patient endorsed an itchy sore throat and shortness of breath. She was administered nebulized racemic epinephrine. 10 minutes after arrival to the PACU, the patient was found to be tachycardic with a heart rate of 122 and severely hypotensive with a blood pressure of 58/43. Diffuse erythema was noted. Patient's Sp02 was 98% on 5 l/min simple face mask.

Resuscitation measures were initiated by the attending anesthesiologist and resident. The vancomycin infusion was stopped. Two large bore intravenous catheters were placed as well as an arterial line. The patient was administered epinephrine boluses twice, one 20 mcg and the other 30 mcg, and started on a phenylephrine infusion at 50 mcg/min. 150 ml of intra-lipid was administered followed by 50 mg of diphenhydramine, 20 mg of famotidine, 40 mg of methylprednisolone. Differentials for the cause of this episode include anaphylaxis, an anaphylactoid reaction, red man syndrome, and local anesthesia systemic toxicity. A serum tryptase level was taken from the arterial line. After the interventions, the patient recovered hemodynamically with a heart rate of 64 beats per minute and blood pressure of 138/75 mmhg. Serum tryptase resulted 4 days later and was elevated to 48.4 mcg/L.

Discussion:

Anaphylaxis was initially high on my differential due to the presenting symptoms and the timing of triggering agent, the antibiotic. The elevated serum tryptase helps to confirm this diagnosis. The reaction was most likely caused by cefepime. During her hospitalization, she received vancomycin 2 more times without any reaction.

Tryptase is an endopeptidase that is release by mast cells during degranulation. It is involved in many pro and anti-inflammatory processes. It can be used to diagnose anaphylaxis, systemic mastocytosis, and mast cell activity syndrome. Normal blood concentrations are 0-11.4 mcg/L. The consensus equation (tryptase>1.2× baseline tryptase+2 mg/L) can be used to analyze suspected cases of anaphylaxis during general anesthesia. Tryptase levels can help to confirm anaphylactic reactions and diagnose previously unknown allergies.

During the perioperative period, anaphylaxis during anesthesia is a significant contributor to morbidity and mortality. Early identification and treatment is critical to improve patient outcomes and patient safety.

References:

Lobbes, H., Reynaud, Q., Mainbourg, S., Lega, J. C., Durieu, I., & Durupt, S. (2020). Dosage de la tryptase : un guide d'utilisation pour le clinicien [Tryptase: A practical guide for the physician]. La Revue de medecine interne, 41(11), 748–755. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revmed.2020.06.006

Mali S. (2012). Anaphylaxis during the perioperative period. *Anesthesia, essays and* researches, 6(2), 124–133. https://doi.org/10.4103/0259-1162.108286

Baretto, R. L., Beck, S., Heslegrave, J., Melchior, C., Mohamed, O., Ekbote, A., Huissoon, A. P., & Krishna, M. T. (2017). Validation of international consensus equation for acute serum total tryptase in mast cell activation: A perioperative perspective. *Allergy*, 72(12), 2031–2034. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.13226